



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,996	02/20/2002	Thomas Huber	INTE.25USU1 (ITC38)	9681
27479	7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
COCHRAN FREUND & YOUNG LLC			JOHNSON, ALAN M	
2026 CARII SUITE 200	BOU DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LINS, CO 80525		2623	
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/080,996	HUBER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alan M. Johnson	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	aaress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some year to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some year provided by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this RANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐	This action is non-final.	ttors prosecution as to t	he merits is			
2a) This action is FINAL.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice un	del Ex parte Quayre, 1000 o	<b>5</b> . 11, 100 c/c/				
Disposition of Claims						
△\\     Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction at	id/or election rodal eller					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abe	ng(s) is objected to. See 37	,. 7 CFR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cathor of declaration is objected to by	correction is required if the draw	ned Office Action or form	PTO-152.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the distant					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority doc</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>	uments have been received i	een received in this Natio	nal Stage			
3. Copies of the certified copies of tr	ie priority documents nave ot Rureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed office detailed						
Attachment(s)	4) 🗌 Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	D/SB/08)	of Informal Patent Application	,			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· —					

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-2 and 3-7, drawn to a method of broadcast plural program versions, classified in class 725, subclass 87.
  - II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to a method of broadcasting a first program containing multiple versions, a second program containing multiple versions and determining if the two programs coincide, classified in class 725, subclass 93.
  - III. Claim 10, drawn to a method of broadcasting a first program containing multiple versions, a second program containing multiple versions and determining if the two programs coincide with ad insertions, classified in class 725, subclass 32.
  - IV. Claims 11-14, drawn to a method of broadcasting plural program versions with associating an ad determined by a first characteristic, classified in class 725, subclass 36.
  - V. Claims 15-17, drawn to inserting first and second advertisements in first and second programs respectively if channels are available, classified in class 725, subclass 95.
  - VI. Claims 19-21 and 22, drawn to a method of selecting a version of a program based on stored user preferences and a system for indication a

Art Unit: 2623

viewer preference from a list of versions of a program and also selecting a transmitted version from plural version based on stored preferences, classified in class 725, subclass 35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III, IV, V and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable.

In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as transmitting plural program versions over the Internet;

In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as determining when two programs coincide over satellite transmission.

In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such determining if two programs coincide with ad insertion over broadcast radio.

In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility such broadcasting plural programs with associating an ad determined by food characteristics transmitted over telephone lines.

In the instant case, subcombination V has separate utility such as bandwidth allocation over the Internet.

In the instant case, subcombination VI has separate utility such as selecting a program version over from satellite radio. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2623

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Jonathan Bockman on 03/21/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2623

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571)272-7916. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher C. Grant can be reached on (571)272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2623

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600